



In The United States Patent And Trademark Office

Applicant: Farah D. Morton

Examiner: Hewitt, James M.

Serial No. 10/716,247

Filed: 11/17/2003

For: Portable Infant Bed

Group Art Unit: 3679

Docket: 4460

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT TO REQUEST

FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)

This is a supplemental response to a final Office Action dated July 18, 2005, and to an Advisory Action from the Primary Examiner which was attached to a decision dated December 21, 2007, on Applicant's Petition to revive the above application. A RCE and its required fee was filed in connection with this matter on January 30, 2008.

On February 20, 2008, the Office of Petitions entered Applicant's RCE of January 30, 2008 and granted Applicant's renewed Petition.

No written communications have been received from the Patent Office in response to the RCE. However, Applicant's counsel has had the opportunity to speak with the Primary Examiner who advised counsel that there were a few technical matters that had to be cleared up before a reissue application could be allowed.

This supplemental amendment will hopefully take care of such matters, and permit this long pending reissue application to pass to allowance.

It is the undersigned's understanding that there are three matters requiring some action, and each will be discussed below:

First, a current Supplemental Declaration is required to correct "errors" statement. Such a Declaration, signed by Farah D. Morton, is submitted herewith.

Second, the Examiner has indicated that if the patent on which the present application is based has not been assigned, that we file a Statement of Non-Assignment. Such a statement, signed by Farah D. Morton, is also submitted herewith.

Third, it is my understanding that the final action objection to certain claims – due to a lack of indentation to separate the elements or steps – required an amendment. Accordingly on pages 2 through 11 of Applicant's RCE amendment, the claims requiring indentation were listed with other changed claims. We have been advised that adding indentations does not constitute an amendment to the claim. It is respectfully requested that pages 2 through 11 of said RCE amendment be cancelled, and the following pages be substituted.